**CS256 – Midterm Exam Study Guide**

**By: Zayd Hammoudeh**

**Chapter #04 – Classification: Basic Concepts, Decision Trees, and Model Evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Classification**  Task of assigning objects to one of several predefined categories. | **Training Set**  A collection of records. Each **record** contains a set of attributes one of which is the **class**. | **Model**  A function from the value of record attributes to the class attribute. | **Test Set**  A collection of records used to determine the accuracy of the classification model. | **Example Classification Techniques**   1. **Neural Networks** 2. **Decision Tree** 3. **Rule Based Classifier** 4. **Memory Based Reasoning** 5. **Support Vector Machines** 6. **Naïve Bayes and Bayesian Belief Networks** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Induction**  Using a training set to generate a model.  **Deduction**  Process of applying a model to a training set.  **Decision Tree Induction**   * **Greedy Strategy** * **Key Decision #1:** Attribute to expand next * **Key Decision #2:** When to stop expanding | **Hunt’s Decision Tree Induction Algorithm:**   * Let *Dt* be the set of training records that reach a node *t*.  1. If *Dt* contains records that **all belong to the same class *yt***, then *t* is a leaf node with class value *yt*. 2. If *Dt* is an **empty set**, then *t* is a leaf node with default value *yd.* 3. If *Dt* contains **records that belong to more than one class and there are no attributes left**, then *t* is a leaf node with default value is a leaf node with default value *yd*. 4. If *Dt* contains **records that belong to more than one class**, then use an attribute test to split the data into smaller subsets. Recursively apply the same procedure above. | **Attribute Types**   * **Binary** – Attribute with exactly two possible values. * **Nominal** – Two or more class values with no intrinsic Order * **Ordinal** – Two or more class values that can be ordered or ranked * **Continuous** – Quantitative attribute that can be measured along a continuum. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Splitting Nominal and**  **Ordinal Attributes**   * **Binary** – Divides attribute values into two subsets. **This requires the additional step of finding optimal partitioning.** * **Multi-way** – Use as many partitions as distinct values. | **Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes**   * **Discretization** – Form an ordinal categorical attribute.   + **Static** – Discretize once at the beginning   + **Dynamic** – Ranges can be found by equal interval bucketing, equal frequency bucketing, or clustering. * **Binary Decision** (A < v or A >v) – Consider all possible splits and find the best cut.   + **Binary Decision Procedure:** Go between each training set record value and calculate the GINI index if the splitting point was at that value. **Select the splitting point with the lowest GINISPLIT value**.     - **Computationally inefficient** – where is the number of records. | **Homogeneity/Low** **Impurity** – Extent to which nodes in the decision tree have the same class value/distribution.  **Nodes with high levels of homogeneity (i.e. low levels of impurity) are preferred**. |

**Impurity Measures**

**For all of these metrics, a lower score is generally preferable.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **GINI Index**   * – Node in the decision tree * – Class value * – Number of class values * – Probability (i.e. relative frequency) of class value in node   **Minimum Value:** 0 when:  **Maximum Value:** when: | **GINISPLIT**   * – Child node * – Number of records in parent node. Note: * – Number of child nodes (i.e. attribute partitions) * – GINI index value of node .   **Minimum Value:** 0 when:  **Maximum Value:** when: | **Entropy**   * – Node in the decision tree * – Class value * – Number of class values * – Probability (i.e. relative frequency) of class value in node   **Minimum Value:** 0 when:  **Maximum Value:** when: |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Information Gain** | | **Classification Error**   * – Node in the decision tree * – Class value * – Probability (i.e. relative frequency) of class value in node   **Minimum Value:** 0 when:  **Maximum Value:** when: |
| * – Parent node in the decision tree * – Child node in the decision tree * – Number of child nodes * – Number of records in child node * – Number of records in parent node   **Key Note:** A higher is preferable unlike with the other metrics where a lower value was better.  **Disadvantage of Information Gain:** Tends to prefer splits that result in a large number of partitions, each being small but pure (i.e. overfitting) | **Normalizing for Split Size**  **penalizes a large split by reducing the gain.** |

**Stopping Criteria for Decision Tree Induction**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Three Stopping Criteria**  **for Decision Tree Induction**   * **When all records in a node have the same class value** * **When all records in a node have similar attribute values**. * **Early Termination** | **Underfitting** – When a model is too simple, both training and test errors are large. | **Overfitting** – When a model becomes too complex (e.g. too large a tree), the test error begins to increase even though the training error decreases.   * **Result:** Training error is **NOT** representative for generalization error. | **Causes of Overfitting**   * **Noise** * **Insufficient training records** (i.e. lack of representative samples) |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Resubstitution Error**  Error on the **training** set.  **Single Leaf Node Error:**  **Total Resubstitution**  **Error:** | **Generalization Error**  Error on the **testing** data.  **Single Leaf Node Error:**  **Total Generalization**  **Error:** | **Generalization Error Estimation** | | |
| **Optimistic Estimation**  Training error is equal to the testing error. | **Pessimistic Estimation**  Assign a penalty term to ea.  **Total Pessimistic Error**  – Number of leaf nodes. | **Reduced Error Pruning**  Use a validation set to estimate the generalization error. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Occam’s Razor**  Given two models with similar generalization errors, one should prefer the simpler model over the more complex model.  **This is because more complex model has a greater chance of fitting accidentally by errors in the data.** | **Pre-pruning (Early Stopping Rule)**   * **Stop the induction algorithm before it becomes a full tree.** * **Typical Stopping Rules:**   + All remaining records have the same class value   + All attribute values are the same. * **More restrictive conditions:**   + Number of instances is below a user-specified threshold.   + Expanding the current node does not improve impurity measures (e.g. GINI Index, Information Gain)   + Class distribution of instances are independent of available features. | **Post-pruning (Early Stopping Rule)**   * **Grow the decision tree to its entirety**. * Trim nodes in the tree in a **bottom-up fashion.** * Only **trim nodes if by trimming the estimate of the generalization error improves**. * New leaf node’s **class label is determined from the majority class of instances in the merged node**. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

**Handling Missing Attribute Values**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Issues Associated with  Missing Attribute Values**   * **Affects how impurity measures are computed** * **Affects how to distribute instances with missing value to child nodes**. * **Affects how to test instance with missing value is classified**. | **Computing Impurity Measure**   * **Calculate entropies (i.e. information gain) with element with missing value EXCLUDED.** * **Multiply by scalar of elements included over total number of elements** (in below example 9 elements included over 10 total elements hence 0.9): | **Distribute Instances**   * **Split the missing record between the two child nodes** * **Percentage of child node that goes to each child is portion to the relative frequency of that attribute value**. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Classifying New/Unseen Records with Missing Data**   * **Pick the most likely of child nodes and use continue down that portion of the tree.** | **Data Fragmentation** – At each level of the tree, the number of instances gets smaller. At leaf nodes, the number of instances could be too small to be statistically significant. | **Oblique Decision Tree** – Test condition in a node may involve multiple attributes.   * **Advantage** – Most expressive decision tree * **Disadvantage** – Finding optimal test condition is computationally expensive. |
| **Tree Induction: NP Hard**  **Alternate Strategies**   * **Bottom Up Tree Generation** * **Bidirectional Tree Generation**   + Inside-out Bidirectional   + Outside-in Bidirectional | **Expressiveness** – Decision trees do not generalize well to certain types of functions including a parity function which would require a complete tree. |
| **Decision Boundary** – Borderline between two neighboring regions of different classes. In non-oblique decision trees, this is parallel to access since it involves a single attribute at a time. | **Tree Replication** – In a decision tree, a subtree may appear in multiple branches. This leads to unnecessary memory usage. |

**Performance Evaluation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| * **Focus on the predictive capability of a model.**   **Confusion Matrix**   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | **Predicted Class** | | | | **Actual Class** |  | **Class = Yes** | **Class=No** | | **Class = Yes** | **a** | **b** | | **Class=No** | **c** | **d** |   **a** – True Positive (TP)  **b** – False Negative (FN)  **c** – False Positive (FP)  **d** – True Negative (TN) | **Accuracy**   * **Accuracy only tells part of the story**.   + **Example:** Two Class Problem     - Number of Class 0 Examples: 9990     - Number of Class 1 Examples: 10     - If the model predicts everything as class 0, its accuracy is 99.9% but it cannot detect any class 1. | **Cost Matrix**   |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | **Predicted Class** | | | | **Actual Class** |  | **Class = Yes** | **Class=No** | | **Class = Yes** | C(Y|Y) | C(N|Y) | | **Class=No** | C(Y|N) | C(N|N) |  * – Cost of predicting class “j" given the actual class is “k”. * Cost matrix can be a better performance evaluation as it accounts for different costs of depending on the type of error. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| * **Precision** – Accuracy of positive predictions. Biased towards C(Y|Y) & C(Y|N).   + – True positive.   + – False positive. | * **Precision** – Accuracy of records with positive class value. Biased towards C(Y|Y) & C(N|Y).   + – True positive.   + – False negative. | * **F-Measure** – Biased two all except C(N|N) (i.e. true negative)   + – Recall   + – Precision   + – False Positive |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| – Number of instances covered by rule  – Number of positive instances covered by rule. | **Proportionality of Cost and Accuracy**   * Cost and accuracy are proportional if:   and | **Sample Size and Model Performance**   * **Learning Curve** – Shows how model accuracy changes (and varies) with sample size. * **Effects of Small Sample Size:**   + **Bias in the estimate**   + **Variance in the estimate.** |

**Methods for Model Comparison**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Holdout** – Reserve 2/3 of labelled examples for training and 1/3 for testing.  **Disadvantages**   * **Uses on a subset of the labelled examples** when training the model. * Model **dependent on the composition of the training and test sets**. * **Training and test sets are not independent** since come from same original set. If one class value is over- or under-represented in either set, it will skew the results. | **Random Subsampling** – Repeats the whole out method multiple times with replacement.  **Disadvantages:**   * Still **uses only a subset of the labelled examples** to build the model. * **No control of how many times each record appears in the training and test sets**. If a particular record is always in the training set, it may skew the model.   **Accuracy of *k* Random Subsamplings**   * – Number of iterations * – Accuracy of the iteration. | **Cross Validation** – Partition the labelled dataset into *k* disjoint subsets.   * **k-Fold** – Train on k-1 partitions and test on the remaining one. * **Leave-One-Out** – The number of partitions equals the number of training samples.     **Accuracy of *k*-Fold Cross Validation**   * – Number of iterations * – Accuracy of the iteration.   **Disadvantages:**   * **Computationally expensive** as process is repeated *k* times. * Depending on size of partition (e.g. 1 for Leave-One-Out), **accuracy from iteration to iteration** can vary significantly. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Bootstrap** – |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Minimum Description Length** |  |

**Chapter #05 – Additional Classification Techniques**

**Rule-Based Classifiers**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Classifies records using a collection of “if…then…” rules. Form of Rule:**   * **Condition** (**Antecedent**, **LHS**) – Conjunction of attributes. * (**Consequent**, **RHS**) – Class value. | **Cover** – A rule covers an instance if the attributes of satisfy the condition (LHS) of the rule.  **Coverage of a Rule** – Fraction of records that satisfy the antecedent of a rule.  **Accuracy of a Rule** – For records covered by a rule, it is the fraction of records that have the matching class value. | **Mutually Exclusive Rule Set** – Rules in the set are independent of each other such that **each record is covered by at most one rule**.  **Exhaustive Rule Set** – A set of rules that covers every possible combination of attribute values. Hence, **each record is covered by at least one rule**. | **Decision Tree** – Can be used to formed a mutually exclusive, exhaustive rule set.  Rules in a decision tree can be simplified.  **Effects of rule simplification:**   * **Problem #1:** Rules become non-mutually exclusive. * **Solution:**   + **Ordered Rule Set** – Rules ordered from highest to lowest priority. Records classified according to highest priority rule they satisfy.   + **Unordered Rule Set** – Voting scheme * **Problem #2:** Rules become non-exhaustive. * **Solution:** Use a default class. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Rule Ordering Schemes**  **Rules Based Ordering** – Individual rules are ranked based off their quality.   * **Advantage:** Ensures each record is classified by the “best rule” covering it. * **Disadvantage:** Interpreting lower priority rules becomes more difficult as they are negations of higher priority rules.   **Class-Based Ordering** – Rules that belong to the same class appear together.   * **Advantage:** Simplifies rule ordering. * **Disadvantage:** May allow a lower quality rule to have higher priority than a higher quality one. | **Direct Method for Rule Building** – Extract rules directly from the data.   * **Examples:** RIPPER, CN2, Holte’s 1R   **Indirect Method for Rule Building** – Extract rules from other classification models (e.g. decision tree, neural network, etc.)   * **Examples:** C4.5rules | **Sequential Covering Algorithm**   1. **Start with an empty rule set.** 2. **Grow a rule using the “Learn-One-Rule” function.** 3. **Remove training records covered by the rule.** 4. **Repeat steps #2 and #3 until stopping criterion is met.**   **Aspects of Sequential Covering**   1. **Rule Growing** 2. **Instance Elimination** 3. **Rule Evaluation** 4. **Stopping Criterion** 5. **Rule Pruning** | **Rule Growing Strategies**   1. **General to Specific**    1. Example: Ripper 2. **Specific to General**   **CN2 Algorithm**   1. Start from an empty rule 2. Add conjuncts that minimize the entropy measure. 3. Determine the rule consequent by taking majority class of covered instances. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Instance Elimination**   * **Reason for Eliminating Instances** – Otherwise next rule is identical to previous rule. * **Reason for Removing Positive Instances** – To ensure future rules are different. * **Reason for Removing Negative Instances** – Prevent underestimating accuracy of the rule. | **Stopping Criterion**  Compute the information gain with the rule. If the gain is insignificant, discard the rule. | **Rule Pruning**   * **Similar to post-pruning of decision trees.** * Uses reduced error pruning.   + **Remove one of the conjuncts of the rule.**   + **Compare error rate on validation set before and after pruning.**   + **If error improves, remove the conjunct.** | **Rule Simplification** – Used to reduce the likelihood of overfitting. |

**Rule Evaluation Metrics**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| – Number of instances covered by rule  – Number of positive instances covered by rule. | – Number of instances covered by rule  – Number of positive instances covered by rule.  – Number of classes  **Used to ensure greater coverage for a rule.** | – Number of instances covered by rule  – Number of positive instances covered by rule.  – Number of classes  – Prior probability of positive class. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **FOIL Information Gain**  – Initial Rule  – Modified version of with added conjunct  – Number of positive instances covered by both and  – Positive instances covered by  – Negative instances covered by  – Positive instances covered by  – Negative instances covered by | **RIPPER Algorithm**   1. For two classes, define one class as positive class and other as negative class.    1. In two class problem, **negative class is the default class**. 2. **In multi-class problem, create list of classes ordered by increasing prevalence**.    1. Select smallest as first as positive class and rest are negative class.    2. **Learn rules for the smallest class first.**    3. **Repeat with next smallest class**. | **RIPPER Algorithm – Growing a Rule**   1. Start from an empty rule set. 2. Add conjuncts as long as they improve **FOIL Information Gain** (i.e. **General-to-Specific**). 3. Stop adding conjuncts when the rule starts covering negative examples. 4. Begin pruning the rule immediately (i.e. before generating new rules) using Reduced Error Pruning. 5. Delete conjuncts to maximize as defined by:   – Number of positive instances covered by the rule.  – Number of negative instances covered by the rule. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **RIPPER Algorithm – Building the Rule Set**   1. **Use Sequential Covering**    1. Find the rule that best covers the current set of positive examples.    2. Eliminate both positive and negative examples covered by the rule.    3. **Uses ordered rule set with class based ordering.** 2. Each time a rule is added to the rule set, compute the new description length. **Example Stopping Conditions:**    1. Stopping growing the rule set if the new **rule increases the description length of the rule set by more than**  (e.g. 64) **bits**.    2. Stop if the error rate of the rule **on the validation set** is more than 50%. | **C4.5rules – Indirect Method** | |
| 1. Start from an **unpruned** decision tree. 2. For each rule ,    1. Consider an alternative rule where is obtained by **removing one of the conjuncts** of    2. **Keep the rule with the lowest pessimistic error rate** (assuming it is less than the original).    3. Repeat until it is no longer possible to improve the generalization error. | 1. Use class-based ordering for the rule set (i.e. group by the rule consequent). 2. Compute the description length of each class and order the rules by increasing description length.  * – Number of bits required to encode misclassified examples. * – Number of bits required to encode the model. * – Tuning parameter whose default is 0.5 and takes into account the presence of redundant attributes in the rule set. |

**Nearest Neighbor Classifiers**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Instance-Based Classifier** – Stores all training records and uses the training records directly to predict the class label of unseen records.  **Rote-Learner** – Memorizes the entire training set and performs classification only if attributes of a record match one the training examples exactly.  **Nearest Neighbor ­**– Uses “closest” training records (i.e. nearest neighbors) for performing classification. |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **ROC Curve** | |
| * Used to illustrate the performance of a binary classifier. * Two Dimensional   + **X-Axis** – False Positive Rate   + **Y-Axis** – True Positive Rate |  |
|  | |

**Miscellaneous**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Decision Tree Algorithm**  **Advantages**   * **Inexpensive to construct** * **Extremely fast at classifying unknown records.** * **Easy to interpret for small sized trees.** * **Accuracy is comparable to other classification techniques for many simple datasets.** (Since everything comes right from the data)   **Disadvantages**   * **May not generalize well for certain types of functions** (e.g. Parity function requires a complete tree) * **May be insufficient for modelling continuous variables** that do not allow oblique nodes. | **Rule Based Classifiers**  **Advantages**   * **As highly expressive as decision trees**   + A decision tree can be expressed via rules based classifier).   + Allows for more complex models than a decision tree by allowing multiple rules to trigger on a single rule. * **Easy to interpret.** * **Easy to generate.** * **Can classify new records quickly.** * **Performance comparable to decision trees** * **Well suited for handling data sets with imbalanced class distributions.** |  |